arXiv:1610.02691v1 [math.GT] 9 Oct 2016

ON THE KAUFFMAN-JONES POLYNOMIAL FOR VIRTUAL SINGULAR KNOTS AND LINKS

CARMEN CAPRAU AND KELSEY FRIESEN

Abstract. We construct an extended Kauffman-Jones polynomial for virtual singular knots and links using a certain type of bivalent graphs. We prove that the resulting Laurent polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[A^2, A^{-2}]$ decomposes non-trivially into two components, one belonging to $\mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}]$ and the other to $\mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^2$, and that both components are invariants under the Reidemeister-type moves for virtual singular link diagrams.

1. Introduction

A *virtual singular link diagram* is a decorated immersion of k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) disjoint copies of S^1 into $\mathbb{R}^2$, with finitely many transverse double points each of which has information of over/under, singular, and virtual crossings, as indicated in Figure 1. If $k = 1$, we have a *virtual singular knot diagram*, or equivalently, a virtual singular link diagram of one component. The over/under markings indicate the classical crossings. A filled in circle is used to represent a *singular crossing*. *Virtual crossings* are represented by placing a small circle around the point where the two arcs meet transversely.



Figure 1. Types of crossings

Unless otherwise specified, we will use the term 'link' to refer to both knots and links, for simplicity.

Similar to the case of virtual knot theory, there is a useful topological interpretation for virtual singular knot theory in terms of embeddings of singular links in thickened surfaces $S_g \times I$, where S_g is a compact oriented surface of genus g and I is the unit interval. A diagram of a virtual singular link in $S_g \times I$ is then drawn on S_g, and virtual crossings are merely byproducts of the projection of the embedding into the surface S_g.

Two virtual singular link diagrams are said to be *equivalent* (or *ambient isotopic*) if they are related by a finite sequence of the *extended Reidemeister moves* depicted in Figure 2 (where only one possible choice of crossings is indicated in the diagrams). The move RS_2 exemplifies that the singular crossings can be regarded as rigid disks. Equivalently, there is a fixed ordering of the four strands meeting at a singular crossing

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M27; 57M25.

Key words and phrases. invariants for knots and links, Kauffman-Jones polynomial, singular knots, virtual knots.

This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#355640, Carmen Caprau).

and the cyclic ordering is determined via the rigidity of the disk. The set of moves in Figure 2 defines an equivalence relation on the set of virtual singular link diagrams. A *virtual singular link* (or *virtual singular link-type*) is then the equivalence class of a virtual singular link diagram.



FIGURE 2. The extended Reidemeister moves

In addition to the set of extended Reidemeister moves, there is a set of moves known as the *forbidden moves* shown in Figure 3. Although these moves look similar to those previously shown, they do not represent isotopic virtual singular link diagrams.



FIGURE 3. The forbidden moves for virtual singular knot diagrams

Throughout this paper, we work with oriented virtual singular links. The extended Reidemeister moves in Figure 2 are still used to relate two equivalent virtual singular link diagrams, just now with given orientations on the strands, where all possible choices of orientation are considered and where the fixed orientation on the strands for the two diagrams in a certain move must agree.

In [4], L. Kauffman constructed a polynomial invariant for oriented virtual links, denoted $f_L(A)$, which is an extension from classical links to virtual links of the polynomial $f[L](A)$ constructed by the same author in [3]. Recall that the polynomial $f[L](A)$ provides a state model for the Jones polynomial [1]. We refer to the polynomials $f_L(A)$ and $f[L](A)$ as the *Kauffman-Jones polynomials* for virtual links and classical links, respectively.

The goal of this paper is to go one step further and extend the polynomial $f_L(A)$ to virtual singular links. In doing so, we provide two definitions for our polynomial,

which we denote by $\langle L \rangle$, where L is a virtual singular link. The first definition uses skein relations, while the second definition provides a state summation formula for the new polynomial $\langle L \rangle$. Motivated by N. Kamada's and Y. Miyazawa's work in [2], where they show that the Kauffman-Jones polynomial for virtual links splits non-trivially with respect to the powers of A modulo four, we prove that the same holds for our polynomial. Our approach and proofs are somewhat different from those in [2], as we make intensive use of our defining skein relations for $\langle L \rangle$. Specifically, we show that if L is a virtual singular link with k components, then $\langle\, L \,\rangle = \phi(L) + \psi(L)$, where $\phi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)}$ and $\psi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$. Both polynomials $\phi(L)$ and $\psi(L)$ are invariants for virtual singular links, as it is $\langle L \rangle$.

2. Kauffman-Jones polynomial for virtual singular links

In this section, we provide our approach in defining the Kauffman-Jones polynomial for virtual singular links.

Definition 1. We call a *purely virtual magnetic graph* an immersed directed graph in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with bivalent vertices such that the edges are oriented alternately, as shown in Figure 4(a), and with self-intersections represented as virtual crossings. Equivalently, each bivalent vertex is either a *sink*, meaning the edges are oriented towards the vertex, or a *source*, where the edges are oriented away from the vertex.

We do allow for components in a purely virtual magnetic graph to consist of oriented closed loops without vertices, as shown in Figure 4(b).



Figure 4. (a) Alternating oriented edges; (b) A purely virtual magnetic graph

We remark that N. Kamada and Y. Miyazawa used in their work [2] the term "magnetic graph diagrams" to refer to such graphs.

Given a virtual singular link L with diagram D, we resolve all of the classical and singular crossings in D in two ways, as shown in Figure 5, leaving the virtual crossings in place. We refer to the two resolutions of a crossing as the *oriented* and, respectively, *disoriented resolutions*.

Figure 5. Oriented and disoriented resolutions

By resolving each of the classical and singular crossings in the diagram D in one of the two ways as shown above, we obtain a *Kauffman-Jones state* associated with D. That is, a Kauffman-Jones state is a purely virtual magnetic graph. The Kauffman-Jones states of D will receive certain weights, which are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[A^2, A^{-2}]$, where these weights are uniquely determined by the skein relations depicted in Figure 6. The Kauffman-Jones polynomial of D is then a Laurent polynomial, denoted $\langle D \rangle$, defined as a formal $\mathbb{Z}[A^2, A^{-2}]$-linear combination of the evaluations of all of the Kauffman-Jones states associated with D.

We remind the reader that the diagrams in both sides of a skein relation represent parts of larger diagrams that are identical, except near a point where they look as indicated in the skein relation.



FIGURE 6. Skein relations for classical and singular crossings

The Kauffman-Jones states associated with D are uniquely evaluated using the *graph skein relations* depicted in Figure 7. Note that the first set of relations in Figure 7 say that we can remove or introduce pairs of adjacent bivalent vertices without changing the evaluation of a state, as long as the two vertices are oppositely oriented (one is a source and the other is a sink). Moreover, the last skein relation says that the Kauffman-Jones polynomial is multiplicative with respect to disjoint unions. Finally, any closed loop with or without virtual crossings is evaluated to $-A^2 - A^{-2}$. Equivalently, Kauffman-Jones states are regarded up to the *pure virtual moves* V_1, V_2 and V_{3-v}.



FIGURE 7. Graph skein relations for evaluating Kauffman-Jones states

We note that, up to the first two sets of the graph skein relations and the pure virtual moves (V_1, V_2 and V_{3-v}), each Kauffman-Jones state is equivalent to a disjoint collection of directed circles in the plane.

A quick analysis of the defining skein relations for classical and singular crossings imply that the following skein relation holds, which will come in handy in proofs:

(2.1) 

Theorem 1. *The Kauffman-Jones polynomial $\langle \cdot \rangle$ as defined by the skein relations in Figures 6 and 7 is an ambient isotopy invariant for virtual singular links.*

Proof. We need to show that $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is invariant under the extended Reidemeister moves for virtual singular link diagrams given in Figure 2.

By our definition of the evaluation of Kauffman-Jones states, we have that $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is invariant under the virtual moves V_1, V_2 and V_{3-v}.

We consider first the Reidemeister move R_1 involving a positive classical crossing; the case of a negative classical crossing follows the same format, and thus we omit it here. We use the appropriate skein relation for the positive crossing, followed by the first and last graph skein relations for evaluating Kauffman-Jones states, as shown below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^{-2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= -A^{-2}(-A^2 - A^{-2})\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= (1 + A^{-4} - A^{-4})\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the Reidemeister move R_2 where both strands are oriented similarly, say upwards. We begin by resolving the top negative classical crossing:

$$\left\langle \right\rangle = -A^2\left\langle \right\rangle - A^4\left\langle \right\rangle .$$

We then resolve the positive classical crossing in each of the resulting diagrams to obtain a linear combination of evaluations of Kauffman-Jones states associated with the original diagram, and then employ any necessary graph skein relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^2\left(-A^{-2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle\right) \\
&- A^4\left(-A^{-2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle\right) \\
&= \left\langle \right\rangle + (A^2 + A^{-2})\left\langle \right\rangle + 1\cdot(-A^2 - A^{-2})\left\langle \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\langle \right\rangle .$$

The invariance under the Reidemeister move R_2 with oppositely oriented strands is verified below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^{-2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= -A^{-2}\left(-A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle\right) \\
&- A^{-4}\left(-A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle\right) \\
&= 1\cdot(-A^{2}-A^{-2})\left\langle \right\rangle + (A^{2}+A^{-2})\left\langle \right\rangle + \left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the Reidemeister move R_3. Resolving one of the classical crossings in each diagram, and using that the polynomial is invariant under the Reidemeister move R_2, results in the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&\overset{R_2}{=} -A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle ,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&\overset{R_2}{=} -A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

The diagrams associated with the weight $-A^2$ have the same evaluations, since the diagrams differ by the move R_2. Thus, it remains to show the following:

$$\left\langle \right\rangle = \left\langle \right\rangle . \tag{2.2}$$

Applying the defining skein relations for the crossings in the left hand side diagram of (2.2) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \right\rangle &= -A^{-2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{-4}\left\langle \right\rangle \\
&= -A^{-2}\left(-A^{2}\left\langle \right\rangle - A^{4}\left\langle \right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}$$



Similarly, for the right hand side diagram of (2.2), we obtain:



Using planar isotopy, the diagrams with weights A^2 and, respectively, A^{-2} on both sides of the desired identity are the same. Moreover, the first (or the last) diagram associated to the left hand side of the identity is the same as the last (or the first) diagram resulting from the right hand side of the identity. Thus, the identity (2.2) holds, and therefore



It is known that the invariance under the other seven oriented versions of the Reidemeister move R_3 follow from the invariance under the Reidemeister moves R_2 and the version of the Reidemeister move R_3 that we have verified.

To prove the invariance of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ under the move RS_1 for virtual singular link diagrams, we use the skein relation (2.1) and that the polynomial is invariant under the Reidemeister move R_3, as we explain below:



A similar approach is used to show the invariance under the move RS_2. First, we apply the skein relation (2.1) to the singular crossing in the diagram on the left hand side of the move. We then use that the polynomial is invariant under the Reidemeister move R_2 to pull apart the strands in the second diagram of the resulting equality, and then we employ again the skein relation (2.1), to obtain the evaluation of the diagram on the right hand side of the move, as desired:





The invariance under the move V_{3-c} follows from the defining skein relations for classical crossings and planar isotopy, as exemplified below:



Here, we used that the diagrams receiving the weight $-A^{-4}$ have the same evaluations, as the number of loops in the larger diagrams (which are identical except in the neighborhood shown) remain the same when the horizontal arc crosses virtually the top or the bottom two edges of the disoriented resolution.

Lastly, the invariance of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ under the move V_{3-s} follows from the skein relation (2.1) for the singular crossing and the now known invariance of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ under the move V_{3-c}:



This completes the proof. □

With Theorem 1 at hand, we can now define the *Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link* L as follows:

$$\langle L \rangle := \langle D \rangle ,$$

where D is any diagram representing K.

Remark 1. If L is an oriented virtual link (that is, L contains no singular crossings), our polynomial $\langle L \rangle$ is the same as the polynomial $f_L(A)$ introduced in L. Kauffman's work [4]. Moreover, if L is an oriented classical link, then $\langle L \rangle$ is the polynomial $f[L](A)$ constructed by L. Kauffman in [3], which provides a state model for the Jones polynomial [1].

Example 1. We evaluate the Kauffman-Jones polynomial of an oriented figure-eight knot containing one classical crossing, one singular crossing, and two virtual crossings. We begin by applying the defining skein relation for the singular crossing followed by that for the classical negative crossing, as shown below:



$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad (-A^4 - A^{-4}) \left[-A^2 \left\langle \quad \right\rangle - A^4 \left\langle \quad \right\rangle \right] \\
&= \quad (-A^2 - A^{-2})[-A^2(-A^2 - A^{-2}) - A^4(-A^2 - A^{-2})] \\
&+ \quad (-A^4 - A^{-4})[-A^2(-A^2 - A^{-2}) - A^4(-A^2 - A^{-2})^2] \\
&= \quad (-A^2 - A^{-2})(A^4 + 1 + A^6 + A^2) + (-A^4 - A^{-4})(-A^8 - A^4) \\
&= \quad A^{12} - A^6 - A^4 - 2A^2 - A^{-2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We end this section by giving a state sum formula for the Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link. Before we do so, we need to go over some new notation.

Consider a virtual Kauffman-Jones state S obtained from a virtual singular link diagram D. Let $a(S)$ denote the number of negative classical crossings in D minus the number of positive classical crossings that received an oriented resolution to obtain S. Let $b(s)$ be the number of negative classical crossings in D minus the number of positive crossings that received a disoriented resolution to obtain S. Similarly, let $\alpha(S)$ denote the number of singular crossings in D that received an oriented resolution, and let $\beta(S)$ denote the number of singular crossings that received a disoriented resolution to result in the state S. Finally, denote by $||S||$ the number of components in S (immersed closed curves with self intersections represented as virtual crossings), and let $c(D)$ represent the number of classical crossings in D.

With these definitions at hand together with the skein relations given in Figures 6 and 7 (which define our polynomial), we obtain the following state sum formula for the Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link diagram D:

$$\langle\, D \,\rangle = (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_S A^{2a(S)+4b(S)} (-A^2 - A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||} \, (-A^4 - A^{-4})^{\beta(S)}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the sum runs over all states S associated with D.

3. Another approach to the Kauffman-Jones polynomial

In this section, we take another approach to evaluating the Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link, which is inspired by the work of N. Kamada and Y. Miyazawa in [2]. With this new perspective, we show that the Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link decomposes non-trivially into two parts, one belonging to $\mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}]$ and the other to $\mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^2$.

Given a virtual singular link diagram D, we still resolve the singular and classical crossings in D using the skein relations given in Figure 6, but we use a slightly different method for evaluating the resulting Kauffman-Jones states (recall that these are purely virtual magnetic graphs).

Consider a purely virtual magnetic graph S. Let $E(S)$ denote the set of edges of S, where an edge is an arc between two adjacent bivalent vertices in S. An immersed closed curve with no bivalent vertices is considered to be an edge.

Definition 2. A *weight map* of a purely virtual magnetic graph S is a function $\tau : E(S) \to \{1, -1\}$ such that adjacent edges e and e' are assigned different values, that is, $\tau(e) \neq \tau(e')$. The assigned value to an edge e is called the *weight of e with respect to τ*. Given a weight map τ and a virtual crossing v in S, the *parity of v with respect to τ*, denoted $i_\tau(v)$, is defined as follows:

$$i_\tau(v) = \tau(e)\tau(e'),$$

where e and e' are the two edges meeting at v. The *parity of* S (with respect to τ), denoted by $i_\tau(S)$, is defined as the product of the parities of all virtual crossings in S. That is,

$$i_\tau(S) = \prod_{v \in S} i_\tau(v).$$

We remark that an immersed curve S without bivalent vertices has parity 1.

As we now show, the parity of a purely virtual magnetic graph S is independent on the choice of the weight map τ, and consequently, we will use the notation $i(S)$ instead of $i_\tau(S)$. The proof of the following statement was given in [2], and we provide it here in order to have a self contained paper.

Lemma 1. Let S be a purely virtual magnetic graph. Then, the parity of S is independent on the choice of the weight map.

Proof. Let τ and ω be two weight maps of S. By definition, the only possible values assigned to the edges of S are 1 or -1. We consider a partition of $E(S)$ into two subsets. Let $E_1(S)$ be the set of all edges $e \in E(S)$ such that $\tau(e) = \omega(e)$, and $E_2(S)$ be the set of all edges such that $\tau(e) \neq \omega(e)$. Note that $\tau(e) \neq \omega(e)$ is equivalent to $\tau(e) = -\omega(e)$. Consider the components of S (that is, immersed closed curves in S). All of the edges of a component of S will either belong to $E_1(S)$ or $E_2(S)$. To see this, consider an edge e of a component of S. Suppose that $e \in E_1(S)$, so $\tau(e) = \omega(e)$, and consider an adjacent edge e'. If $\tau(e) = \omega(e) = a$, then by definition of a purely virtual magnetic graph, we have $\tau(e') = \omega(e') = -a$, and thus $e' \in E_1(D)$. We repeat this argument as we move through the edges of the component of S, to conclude that all of its edges belong to $E_1(S)$. The same argument works for the case when $e \in E_2(S)$. Thus all of the edges of a component will either belong to $E_1(S)$ or $E_2(S)$.

Let S_i denote the components of S whose edges belong to $E_i(S)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Consider a virtual crossing v of S. The parity of v with respect to τ will be different from the parity of v with respect to ω if and only if v is a crossing between an edge from S_1 and an edge from S_2. However, two components of S will always intersect in an even number of virtual crossings, and therefore, the product of the parities of the virtual crossings that could be different (with respect to τ and ω) will be equal to 1, since there is an even number of such virtual crossings. Hence, $i_\tau(S) = i_\omega(S)$. □

Definition 3. An *enhanced purely virtual magnetic graph* is a purely virtual magnetic graph S together with a weight map of S.

We are now ready to define the new method for evaluating an enhanced purely virtual magnetic graph. As before, we denote by $||S||$ the number of components in S.

Definition 4. Given an enhanced purely magnetic graph S with parity $i(S)$, the *enhanced evaluation* of S, denoted $R(S)$, is given by:

$$R(S) = (-A^2 - A^{-2})^{||S||} h^{\frac{1-i(S)}{2}}$$

where A, A^{-1} and h are commuting parameters.

Remark 2. If we substitute $h = 1$ in the definition of the enhanced evaluation of S, we obtain the evaluation of S, as defined before.

Example 2. Consider the following enhanced purely magnetic graph S given below:

S = 

There are four components in S, and thus $||S|| = 4$. The virtual crossing in the top component has parity 1, and both of the virtual crossings in the bottom right component have parity -1. Thus $i(S) = (1)(-1)(-1) = 1$ and the enhanced evaluation of this state is $R(S) = (-A^2 - A^{-2})^4\, h^{\frac{1-1}{2}} = (-A^2 - A^{-2})^4$.

Consider a virtual singular link diagram D and its associated Kauffman-Jones states together with a weight map for each of the states, which now are *enhanced Kauffman-Jones states*. We employ the symbols used in the state sum formula given in Equation (2.3), to define a new polynomial associated to D.

Definition 5. Given a virtual singular link diagram D, we define a polynomial $R(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}, h]$ as the following sum, taken over all enhanced Kauffman-Jones states S associated with D:

$$R(D) = (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_S A^{2a(S)+4b(S)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||}(-A^4 - A^{-4})^{\beta(S)} h^{\frac{1-i(S)}{2}}.$$

Remark 3. Comparing the defining formula for $R(D)$ with the state sum formula for the Kauffman-Jones polynomial given in Equation (2.3), we see that $R(D)_{|h=1} = \langle\, D \,\rangle$. The role of the indeterminate h in our construction will become clear in Section 4, where we address a splitting property of the polynomial $R(D)$, and consequently, of the polynomial $\langle\, D \,\rangle$.

Remark 4. Given a virtual singular link diagram D and S an enhanced Kauffman-Jones state of D, denote by $\overline{R}(S)$ the *weighted state contribution* of S to $R(D)$:

$$\overline{R}(S) := A^{2a(S)+4b(S)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||}(-A^4 - A^{-4})^{\beta(S)} h^{\frac{1-i(S)}{2}}.$$

Then the polynomial $R(D)$ can be rewritten as:

$$R(D) = (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_S \overline{R}(S).$$

Proposition 1. Given a virtual singular link diagram D, the polynomial $R(D)$ can be computed iteratively via the skein relations,

$$R\left(\times\right) = -A^{-2} R\left(\;)(\;\right) - A^{-4} R\left(\asymp\right)$$

$$R\left(\times\right) = -A^{2} R\left(\;)(\;\right) - A^{4} R\left(\asymp\right)$$

$$R\left(\times\right) = (-A^2 - A^{-2}) R\left(\;)(\;\right) + (-A^4 - A^{-4}) R\left(\asymp\right)$$

followed by the application of Definition 4, to evaluate the resulting enhanced Kauffman-Jones states corresponding to D.

Proof. The statement follows easily by comparing the polynomials $\langle D \rangle$ and $R(D)$. □

Proposition 2. The enhanced evaluation R for enhanced purely virtual magnetic graphs satisfies the following skein relations:



Moreover, the parity for enhanced purely virtual magnetic graphs satisfies the following skein relations:

Proof. These skein relations follow at once from Definition 4 and the definition of the parity of enhanced purely virtual magnetic graphs. □

Theorem 2. *The polynomial $R(\cdot)$ is an ambient isotopy invariant for virtual singular links.*

Proof. We have that $R(D)_{|h=1} = \langle D \rangle$ and that the two polynomials $\langle D \rangle$ and $R(D)$ differ only by how the Kauffman-Jones states are evaluated. In particular, the polynomial R satisfies the same skein relations (for the classical and virtual crossings) as the polynomial $\langle D \rangle$. Since in the proof of Theorem 1 we used these skein relations to show that $\langle D \rangle$ is invariant under the extended Reidemeister moves, it is clear that the polynomial $R(\cdot)$ is invariant under the moves that involve only classical and singular crossings. Therefore, it remains to show that $R(\cdot)$ is unchanged under the extended Reidemeister moves that involve virtual crossings, namely the last five moves given in Figure 2.

Invariance under the move V_1. Consider two virtual singular link diagrams D and D' that differ by a virtual move V_1:

Each state S' of D' can be obtained from a state S of D by applying a virtual twist, where the twist is applied in the same small neighborhood of the point where the twist was introduced on D. Since the parity of the virtual crossing involved in the twist is always 1, regardless of the weight map of the state, it follows that $R(S) = R(S')$ for every corresponding pair of states S and S', and therefore $R(D) = R(D')$.

Invariance under the move V_2. Consider two virtual singular link diagrams D and D' that are identical except near a point where they differ as shown:

The states S of D are in one-to-one correspondence with the states S' of D', and each corresponding pair S and S' differ by the virtual move V_2. The parities of the additional two virtual crossings in S' are the same, regardless of the weight map of the state, and thus the overall parities of each corresponding pairs S and S' are the same. Hence, $R(D) = R(D')$.

Invariance under the move V_{3-v}. Consider again two diagrams that are identical except near a point where they differ as shown below:

$D =$ and $D' =$

No virtual crossings are added or removed during the move, and the number of components in the resulting states of D and D' is unaffected. As before, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the states S of D and S' of D', respectively. Each corresponding pair S and S' differ by the virtual move V_{3-v}. We need to show that the parities of the three crossings involved in the move are the same when the move is applied, implying that the overall parities of the corresponding states S and S' are the same. Given an assigned weight map of a state, the horizontal strand intersecting virtually the two diagonal strands will have the same weight whether it is above the central virtual crossing or below. Moreover, the move V_{3-v} does not affect the weights of the diagonal strands meeting at the central virtual crossing. It follows that $i(S) = i(S')$ for all corresponding pairs of states S and S', and hence $R(D) = R(D')$ in this case as well.

Invariance under the move V_{3-r}. Let D and D' be virtual singular link diagrams that differ only in a small neighborhood as shown below:

$D =$ $D' =$

We have that

$$R(D) = -A^{-2}R\left(\right) - A^{-4}R\left(\right)$$



and

$$R(D') = -A^{-2}R\left(\right) - A^{-4}R\left(\right).$$



The second diagrams in the above two skein relations contain the same number of components, and we need to verify that they have the same parity. Since these two diagrams are identical outside of the neighborhood shown, the only chance of having different parities depends on the parities of the virtual crossings shown. Consider in both of the diagrams the two adjacent edges intersecting virtually with the horizontal arc. By the definition of a weight map, the two adjacent edges will receive opposite weights, and therefore, no matter the weight of the horizontal strand, the two shown virtual crossings in either of the diagrams will have opposite parities. Hence,

$$i\left(\right) = i\left(\right) \Longrightarrow R\left(\right) = R\left(\right).$$




It follows that $R(D) = R(D')$. The case when the classical crossing involved in the move is negative follows in a similar fashion.

Invariance under the move V_{3-s}. We note first that

$$R\left(\right) = R\left(\right) + R\left(\right).$$

Then, the invariance under the move V_{3-s} follows as shown below, where we use that R is invariant under the move R_{3-c}.



This completes the proof. □

Remark 5. The definition of the polynomial $R(D)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} R(D) &= (-1)^{c(D)} \left(\sum_{S,i(S)=-1} \overline{R}(S) + \sum_{S,i(S)=1} \overline{R}(S) \right) \\ &= \phi(D)h + \psi(D), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(D): &= (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_{S,i(S)=-1} A^{2a(S)+4b(S)}(-A^2-A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||}(-A^4-A^{-4})^{\beta(S)} \\ \psi(D): &= (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_{S,i(S)=1} A^{2a(S)+4b(S)}(-A^2-A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||}(-A^4-A^{-4})^{\beta(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi(D), \psi(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^2, A^{-2}]$.

Proposition 3. If D and D' are virtual singular link diagrams that differ by an extended Reidemeister move, then $\phi(D) = \phi(D')$ and $\psi(D) = \psi(D')$. That is, the polynomials $\phi(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\psi(\,\cdot\,)$ are invariants for virtual singular links.

Proof. It is clear that polynomials $\phi(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\psi(\,\cdot\,)$ satisfy the same skein relations for the classical and singular crossings as the polynomials $R(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\langle\,\cdot\,\rangle$. Moreover,



and similarly,



Then, the same proof as in Theorem 1 can be used to show that $\phi(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\psi(\,\cdot\,)$ are invariant under the classical Reidemeister moves R_1, R_2 and R_3, as well as the moves RS_1 and RS_2. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 2 implies that $\phi(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\psi(\,\cdot\,)$ are invariant under the moves $V_1, V_2, V_{3-v}, V_{3-r}$ and V_{3-s}. □

Definition 6. Given a virtual singular link L, define the polynomial $R(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}, h]$ by

$$R(L) := R(D),$$

where D is any diagram representing L.

Example 3. In this example, we evaluate the polynomial $R(D)$ of the diagram D given below:

$D =$ 

The enhanced Kauffman-Jones states associated with D are given in the first column in Table 1 (with certain weight maps), while the third column contains the weighted state contributions $\overline{R}(S)$ to $R(D)$, for each of the enhanced states S of D. Recall that

$$\overline{R}(S) = A^{2a(S)+4b(S)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{\alpha(S)+||S||}(-A^4 - A^{-4})^{\beta(S)}h^{\frac{1-i(S)}{2}}.$$

Taking the sum of the weighted state contributions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\right. \text{  } \left.\right) &= (-1)^{c(D)}\left(\overline{R}(S_1) + \overline{R}(S_2) + \overline{R}(S_3) + \overline{R}(S_4)\right) \\ &= -\left(\overline{R}(S_1) + \overline{R}(S_2) + \overline{R}(S_3) + \overline{R}(S_4)\right) \\ &= A^{12}h - A^4h - A^6 - 2A^2 - A^{-2} \\ &= h(A^{12} - A^4) + (-A^4 - 2 - A^{-4})A^2. \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 1. The Kauffman-Jones states of D and their contributions to $R(D)$

$S_1 =$ 	$a(S_1) = 1$ $\alpha(S_1) = 1$ $\|\|S_1\|\| = 1$	$b(S_1) = 0$ $\beta(S_1) = 0$ $i(S_1) = 1$	$\overline{R}(S_1) = A^2(-A^2 - A^{-2})^2$
$S_2 =$ 	$a(S_2) = 0$ $\alpha(S_2) = 1$ $\|\|S_2\|\| = 1$	$b(S_2) = 1$ $\beta(S_2) = 0$ $i(S_2) = -1$	$\overline{R}(S_2) = A^4(-A^2 - A^{-2})^2h$
$S_3 =$ 	$a(S_3) = 1$ $\alpha(S_3) = 0$ $\|\|S_3\|\| = 1$	$b(S_3) = 0$ $\beta(S_3) = 1$ $i(S_3) = -1$	$\overline{R}(S_3) = A^2(-A^2 - A^{-2})(-A^4 - A^{-4})h$
$S_4 =$ 	$a(S_4) = 0$ $\alpha(S_4) = 0$ $\|\|S_4\|\| = 2$	$b(S_4) = 1$ $\beta(S_4) = 1$ $i(S_3) = -1$	$\overline{R}(S_4) = A^4(-A^2 - A^2)^2(-A^4 - A^{-4})h$

4. The splitting property

In Example 3, we noticed that

$$R(D) = \phi(D)h + \psi(D),$$

where $\phi(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}]$ and $\psi(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^2$. Inspired by the work in [2], we show now that the polynomial $R(\,\cdot\,)$ always has this property. The main result is as follows:

Theorem 3. *Let L be a virtual singular link with k components. Then*

$$R(L) = \phi(L)h + \psi(L),$$

where $\phi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)}$ and $\psi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar in spirit to the proof of Theorem 3 in [2].

Before we prove our theorem, we need to look at a few lemmas. For that, consider a weighted state contribution $\overline{R}(S)$ to the polynomial $R(D)$, where S is any enhanced Kauffman-Jones state associated with a diagram D, and note that the powers of A in all of the monomials of $\overline{R}(S)$ are congruent to each other modulo 4. Denote by $\max_A(\overline{R}(S))$ the maximum power of A in $\overline{R}(S)$. That is,

$$\max_A(\overline{R}(S)) := 2a(S) + 4b(S) + 2(\alpha(S) + ||S||) + 4\beta(S)$$

where $a(S), b(S), \alpha(S), \beta(S)$ and $||S||$ were defined at the end of Section 2.

Lemma 2. Let D be a connected virtual singular link diagram with k-components and denote by S_0 the enhanced Kauffman-Jones state of D obtained by resolving each of the classical and singular crossings in D using the oriented resolution. Then,

$$\overline{R}(S_0) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}.$$

Proof. We first note that for the oriented state S_0, we have:

$$a(S_0) = -w(D),\ b(S_0) = 0,\ \alpha(S_0) = s(D),\ \beta(S_0) = 0,$$

where $w(D)$ is the *writhe* of D (that is, the sum of the signs of the classical crossings in D) and $s(D)$ is the number of singular crossings in D.

The state S_0 has no bivalent vertices, and we consider the weight map that assigns 1 to all loops in S_0. Therefore, $i(S_0) = 1$ and $\overline{R}(S_0) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^2, A^{-2}]$.

By hypothesis, the original diagram D is connected. Consider any two linked components of D and let p be a classical crossing formed by the two components. If we resolve the crossing p in the oriented fashion, we obtain a virtual singular link diagram which is still connected but has one less component. Therefore, it is clear that there exist $k-1$ classical crossings in D such that by applying the oriented resolution to all of these crossings, the resulting diagram D_1 represents a virtual singular knot (that is, D_1 is a one-component virtual singular link diagram), such that

$$c(D_1) = c(D) - (k-1) \text{ and } s(D_1) = s(D).$$

Choose a base-point on D_1 and consider the walk along D that starts at the base-point and proceeds according to the diagram's orientation. When arriving at a singular crossing, the walk continues straight ahead. The walk ends when returning to the base-point for the first time. Label the classical and singular crossings in D_1 and list them in the order they are reached via the walk. If there are two classical and/or singular crossings, p_a and p_b, which alternate in the list produced by the walk, as shown below:

$$\cdots p_a, \cdots, p_b, \cdots, p_a, \cdots p_b, \cdots,$$

resolve these two crossings using the oriented resolution. This process results in a (connected) virtual singular knot diagram, which we denote by D_2, as illustrated below.



Note that in the illustration of D_1 and D_2, we use flat crossings for p_a and p_b to represent either type of classical crossing or singular crossing. Now choose a base-point on D_2 and consider the walk starting at the base-point and apply the same procedure as for D_1. This produces a (connected) virtual singular knot diagram, D_3.

Continuing with this process, we obtain a finite sequence of virtual singular knot diagrams

$$D_1,\, D_2,\, D_3,\, \cdots, D_l = D',$$

where

$$c(D_i) + s(D_i) - c(D_{i+1}) - s(D_{i+1}) = 2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l-1,$$

and where any two classical and/or singular crossings p'_a and p'_b in D' appear in a list produced by a walk along D' as follows:

$$\cdots p'_a, \cdots, p'_b, \cdots, p'_b, \cdots p'_a, \cdots$$

Let m be the number of classical and singular crossings in D'. Resolving all of these m crossings using the oriented resolution produces a purely virtual magnetic graph with $m+1$ components without bivalent vertices.

It follows that $||S_0|| = m+1$ and that

$$m = c(D') + s(D') \equiv c(D) + s(D) - (k-1) \pmod 2. \tag{4.1}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_A(\overline{R}(S_0)) &= 2a(S_0) + 2\alpha(S_0) + 2||S_0|| \\ &= -2w(D) + 2s(D) + 2(m+1) \\ &= 2(-w(D) + s(D) + m + 1). \end{aligned}$$

But $w(D) \equiv -c(D) \pmod 2$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} -w(D) + s(D) + m + 1 &\equiv c(D) + s(D) + m + 1 \pmod 2 \\ &\overset{(4.1)}{\equiv} m + k - 1 + m + 1 \pmod 2 \\ &\equiv k \pmod 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\max_A(\overline{R}(S_0)) \equiv 2k \pmod 4$. Since all powers of A in $\overline{R}(S_0)$ are congruent to $\max_A(\overline{R}(S_0))$ modulo 4, the desired statement follows. □

Lemma 3. Let D be a virtual singular link diagram and S an enhanced Kauffman-Jones state of D. Let S' be an enhanced Kauffman-Jones state of D obtained from S by changing the resolution at one of the classical or singular crossings of D.
(1) If $||S'|| \neq ||S||$, then $i(S') = i(S)$ and $\max_A(\overline{R}(S')) \equiv \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) \pmod 4$.
(2) If $||S'|| = ||S||$, then $i(S') = -i(S)$ and $\max_A(\overline{R}(S')) \equiv \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) + 2 \pmod 4$.

Proof. Let p be a (classical or singular) crossing of D where, say, a disoriented resolution occurred to form the state S. Then an oriented resolution was applied at p to obtain the state S', and all of the other crossings of D received the same type of resolutions to arrive at S and S', respectively.

(1) Suppose that $||S'|| \neq ||S||$. Since S and S' differ only in the neighborhood of p, S' either has one less or one more component than S, as demonstrated below (we use dashed lines to represent the regions where S and S' coincide).



Consider first the case where $||S'|| = ||S|| - 1$. Assign weight maps to S and S' such that the edges shown have weights as depicted in the diagrams above. Then the weight maps assigned to S and S' coincide on the common regions, and any virtual crossing will have the same parity with respect to S and S'. It follows that $i(S) = i(S')$.

Suppose that p is a singular crossing (the proof is similar for the case when p is a classical crossing, and thus it is omitted to avoid repetition). We then have the following equalities,

$$a(S) = a(S'), b(S) = b(S'), ||S|| = ||S'|| + 1, \alpha(S) = \alpha(S') - 1, \beta(S) = \beta(S') + 1,$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) &= 2a(S) + 4b(S) + 2(\alpha(S) + ||S||) + 4\beta(S) \\ &= 2a(S') + 4b(S') + 2(\alpha(S') - 1 + ||S'|| + 1) + 4(\beta(S') + 1) \\ &= 2a(S') + 4b(S') + 2(\alpha(S') + ||S'||) + 4\beta(S') + 4 \\ &= \max_A(\overline{R}(S')) + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\max_A(\overline{R}(S')) \equiv \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) \pmod 4$.

Now consider the case where $||S'|| = ||S|| + 1$, and assign weight maps to S and S' so that the edges shown in the diagrams receive the same weights as in the previous case. Then a given virtual crossing will have the same parity with respect to S and S', respectively, and hence $i(S) = i(S')$. Moreover, suppose this time that p is a positive classical crossing. Then

$$a(S) = a(S') + 1, b(S) = b(S') - 1, ||S|| = ||S'|| - 1, \alpha(S) = \alpha(S'), \beta(S) = \beta(S'),$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) &= 2a(S) + 4b(S) + 2(\alpha(S) + ||S||) + 4\beta(S) \\ &= 2(a(S') + 1) + 4(b(S') - 1) + 2(\alpha(S') + ||S'|| - 1) + 4\beta(S') \\ &= 2a(S') + 4b(S') + 2(\alpha(S') + ||S'||) + 4\beta(S') - 4 \\ &= \max_A(\overline{R}(S')) - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\max_A(\overline{R}(S')) \equiv \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) \pmod 4$. If p is a negative classical crossing or a singular crossing, the proof follows similarly.

(2) Suppose now that $||S'|| = ||S||$, and assign weight maps to S and S' as shown below.



Note that the arcs C_1 and C_2 (as depicted in the above diagrams) are identical in both of the states S and S', and that these arcs must intersect in an odd number of virtual crossings. In addition, the weight maps for S and S' as defined above exist, since both arcs C_1 and C_2 must contain an even number of bivalent vertices.

Then, an edge belonging to the arc C_1 will have opposite weights with respect to S and S', respectively. On the other hand, an edge belonging to the arc C_2 will have the same weights with respect to S and S'. It follows that if v is a virtual crossing where arcs C_1 and C_2 intersect, then the parity of v with respect to S is opposite from the parity of v with respect to S'. Since the arcs C_1 and C_2 intersect in an odd number of virtual crossings, and since the parity of a virtual crossing where C_1 (or C_2) intersects itself is the same with respect to both states S and S', it follows that $i(S) = -i(S')$.

To show the second part of the statement, suppose that p is a negative classical crossing. Then

$$a(S) = a(S') - 1, b(S) = b(S') + 1, ||S|| = ||S'||, \alpha(S) = \alpha(S'), \beta(S) = \beta(S').$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) &= 2a(S) + 4b(S) + 2(\alpha(S) + ||S||) + 4\beta(S) \\ &= 2(a(S') - 1) + 4(b(S') + 1) + 2(\alpha(S') + ||S'||) + 4\beta(S') \\ &= 2a(S') + 4b(S') + 2(\alpha(S') + ||S'||) + 4\beta(S') + 2 \\ &= \max_A(\overline{R}(S')) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\max_A(\overline{R}(S')) \equiv \max_A(\overline{R}(S)) + 2 \pmod 4$. □

Lemma 4. Let $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ be the disjoint union of virtual singular link diagrams D_1 and D_2, and let $R(D_i) = \phi(D_i)h + \psi(D_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ as given in Remark 5. Then $R(D) = \phi(D)h + \psi(D)$, where

$$\phi(D) = \phi(D_1)\psi(D_2) + \psi(D_1)\phi(D_2) \text{ and } \psi(D) = \phi(D_1)\phi(D_2) + \psi(D_1)\psi(D_2).$$

Proof. Every enhanced Kauffman-Jones state S of D is of the form $S_1 \cup S_2$, where S_1 and S_2 are enhanced Kauffman-Jones states of D_1 and D_2, respectively. Moreover, since $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, the following hold:

$$||S|| = ||S_1|| + ||S_2||$$

$$a(S) = a(S_1) + a(S_2),\ b(S) = b(S_1) + b(S_2)$$

$$\alpha(S) = \alpha(S_1) + \alpha(S_2),\ \beta(S) = \beta(S_1) + \beta(S_2)$$

$$i(S) = 1 \Leftrightarrow i(S_1) = i(S_2).$$

We note also that $c(D) = c(D_1) + c(D_2)$. Then the statement follows easily from the defining state-sum formula for the polynomial $R(D)$. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that L is a virtual singular link with k components and let $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots D_n$ be a diagram of L where D_i, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a connected diagram with k_i components, and where $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k$. We provide a proof by induction on n.

If $n = 1$, then D is connected and we can use Lemma 2 applied to the oriented enhanced Kauffman-Jones state S_0 corresponding to D. Thus $\overline{R}(S_0) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$. If S is any other enhanced Kauffman-Jones state of D, then $||S|| \neq ||S_0||$ or $||S|| = ||S_0||$. By Lemma 3, in the first case $\overline{R}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$. In the second case, $\overline{R}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k} \cdot A^2 h$, or equivalently, $\overline{R}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)} h$ (since $2(k+1) \equiv 2(k-1) \pmod 4$).

Since

$$R(D) = (-1)^{c(D)} \sum_S \overline{R}(S) = (-1)^{c(D)} \left(\sum_{S, ||S|| = ||S_0||} \overline{R}(S) + \sum_{S, ||S|| \neq ||S_0||} \overline{R}(S) \right),$$

it follows that $R(D) = \phi(D)h + \psi(D)$ where $\phi(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)}$ and $\psi(D) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$.

Suppose that $n > 1$ and that the statement is true for any disjoint union of l connected diagrams, where $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. Let $D' := D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_{n-1}$ and $D'' := D_n$, and note that D' has $k_1 + \cdots + k_{n-1} = k - k_n$ components, while D'' has k_n components. Then $D = D' \cup D''$ and $R(D') = \phi(D')h + \psi(D')$, $R(D'') = \phi(D'')h + \psi(D'')$, where

$$\phi(D') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-k_n-1)}, \quad \psi(D') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-k_n)},$$
$$\phi(D'') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k_n-1)}, \quad \psi(D'') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k_n}.$$

Then $R(D) = \phi(D)h + \psi(D)$, where by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(D) &= \phi(D')\psi(D'') + \psi(D')\phi(D'') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)} \\ \psi(D) &= \phi(D')\phi(D'') + \psi(D')\psi(D'') \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the statement holds for any $n \geq 1$. □

Corollary 1. The extended Kauffman-Jones polynomial of a virtual singular link L with k components decomposes non-trivially into two parts:

$$\langle L \rangle = \phi(L) + \psi(L),$$

where $\phi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2(k-1)}$ and $\psi(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[A^4, A^{-4}] \cdot A^{2k}$.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 3 and the fact that $R(L)_{|h=1} = \langle L \rangle$. □

References

[1] V.F.R. Jones, A polynomial invariant for knots via Von Neumann algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1985), 103-111.

[2] N. Kamada and Y. Miyazawa, A 2-variable invariant for a virtual link derived from magnetic graphs, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **35**, No. 2 (2005), 309-326.

[3] L. H. Kauffman, State models and the Jones polynomial, *Topology* **26** (1987), 395-407.

[4] L. H. Kauffman, Virtual knot theory, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **20** (1999), 663-691.

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Fresno, CA 93740, USA
E-mail address: ccaprau@csufresno.edu

E-mail address: kelseyfriesen@mail.fresnostate.edu